



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/660,584	09/12/2000	John Barthelow Classen	CLASSEN=1D	2284
7590 03/24/2004			EXAMINER	
Browdy and Neimark PLLC			PARK, HANKYEL	
Suite 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
624 Ninth Street NW Washington, DC 20001-5303			1648	

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/660,584	CLASSEN, JOHN BARTHELOW				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hankyel T. Park	1648				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ature cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed  r (30) days will be considered timely.  IHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 156 and 192-403 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 156 and 192-403 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Example.	drawn from consideration.  nd/or election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	A) Intondous	Summary (PTO-413)				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/11/02, 2/28/01.	B/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Ii 6) ☐ Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/660,584

Art Unit: 1648

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 156, 192-403 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-113 of U.S. Patent No. 6,638,739. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the patent teaches a method of immunizing a subject, which comprises all of the elements claimed in the instant application. First of all, the consideration of the incident, prevalence or frequency of a chronic immune mediated disorder is considered in Claim 37 of the patent. The consideration of the risk of the instant application is considered in Claim 1 of the patent. The screening process of Claim 1 of the instant patent is considered in Claim 1 of the patent. It seems the variations in the scheduling and doses of immunogens in the instant application are also considered in the patent.

No claim is allowed.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/660,584

Art Unit: 1648

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hankyel T. Park whose telephone number is 571-272-0907. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00 a.m. -2:00 p.m. Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached at 571-272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HANKYEL T. PARK, PH.D Primary examiner